DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 474 297 CE 084 686

AUTHOR Sleezer, Catherine Marie; McCullough, Cathy Bolton; Cude,

Roger L.

TITLE Managing Culture in the E-Workplace: The Practitioners'

Perspectives. Innovative Session.

REPORT NO No-9

PUB DATE 2002-00-00

NOTE 6p.; In: Academy of Human Resource Development (AHRD)

Conference Proceedings (Honolulu, Hawaii, February 27-March

3, 2002); see CE 084 635.

PUB TYPE Opinion Papers (120) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Adjustment (to Environment); *Change Agents; Change

Strategies; Human Resources; *Information Technology; *Labor Force Development; *Organizational Change; *Organizational

Culture; Organizational Development; Organizational

Effectiveness; Performance Factors; Personnel Management;

*Systems Approach; Work Environment

IDENTIFIERS Human Resource Specialists; Senge (Peter)

ABSTRACT

A group of human resource development (HRD) practitioners participated in an innovative session on managing culture in the e-workplace. The session objectives were as follows: (1) bring cultural patterns of the eworkplace to the forefront; (2) discuss the impact of culture on performance improvement in the e-workplace; and (3) share resources for stimulating change in the way people within e-organizations work. The participants, who worked in small groups of six HRD practitioners each, used Senge's Iceberg Model as a lens for viewing culture in their own organizations. They also engaged in reflection and dialogue about responses to the information technology (IT) challenges in their various organizations, resources for addressing the challenges more effectively, and needed research. The innovative session consisted of four phases as follows: (1) a brief introduction to the topic and the Iceberg Model; (2) application of the Iceberg Model to identify IT events and patterns of IT events and discover the commonalities among events and patterns of events across organizations; (3) replication of phase 2 but with a focus on systemic structures and mental models; and (4) an all-group discussion about insights gained from the first three phases and an overview of available resources and research on managing culture in the e-workplace. (Contains 29 references.) (MN)



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)
This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2002 AHRD Conference

Innovative Session 9

Honolulu, Hawaii

February 27 - March 3, 2002

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



Managing Culture in the E-Workplace: The Practitioners' Perspectives

Catherine Marie Sleezer Oklahoma State University

Cathy Bolton McCullough Francis Tuttle Technology Center

Roger L. Cude McLeodUSA

This session engages human resource development (HRD) practitioners in analyzing organization cultures in their e-workplaces. Participants will consider how the cultures of their organizations are changing in response to information technology (IT). Exercises will focus on the four levels of systems thinking reflected in Senge's Iceberg Model: Events, Patterns of Events, Structures, and Mental Models. Participants will share resources for improving the culture in e-workplaces and identify research and resources that are needed.

Keywords: Culture, Information Technology, Needs Analysis

Session Purpose

As organizations grasp for alignment using the new information technology (IT) tools of business, many leaders find themselves adjusting to new ways of working. Kanter (2001) identifies the following essential questions for today's organizations: How should organizations change in order to succeed in a digital world? What should an organization's new ways of working look like for maximum impact on profitability, employee satisfaction, quality, innovation, etc.? This session will explore answers to these questions. The goals of this session are to

- 1. bring cultural patterns of the e-workplace to the forefront,
- 2. discuss the impact of culture on performance improvement in the e-workplace, and
- 3. share resources for stimulating change in the way people within e-organizations work.

Content of Session

In this session, participants will practice using Senge's (2000) Iceberg Model as a lens for viewing culture in their own organizations. Participants will also engage in reflection and dialogue about the responses to the IT challenges in their various organizations, about resources for addressing the challenges more effectively, and about needed research in this area.

Theoretical Framework

HRD professionals, who are responsible for managing and facilitating culture change in organizations, are increasingly challenged to help position their organizations within the new Internet Age economy. They interact with two important influences in the Internet Age: information technology (IT) and people. People create IT uses, and they also create the pathways for exchanging information within organizations. The way people interact with IT and other factors in accomplishing their tasks influences how the organization's work gets done and the outputs that result (Lawson & Sleezer, 2001; Van Buren, 2001). For example, organizations today are using new IT applications to move beyond providing stakeholders with information, to automate processes, and finally to transform them (Christie, 2001).

Many organizations initially viewed IT strategies as golden rings for repositioning their organizations. However, for many the initial challenge of getting hold of a golden ring proved easy when compared to the challenges of managing IT use for improving performance. "Taking full advantage of the potential of the Internet Age requires leaders to lead differently and people to work together in new configurations" (Kanter, 2001, p. 7). Because an organization's culture facilitates or constrains actions and interactions, culture change provides important leverage for performance improvement.

Copyright © 2002 Catherine Sleezer, Cathy Bolton McCullough and Roger L. Cude



Organization Culture

Researchers, scholars, and business authors have been enthralled with the concept of business culture (Clark, 1972; Deal & Kennedy, 1982; Ouchi, 1981, Pettigrew, 1973, Sathe, 1983, 1985; Schein, 1985, 1989, 1990). Culture is a construct that has been viewed in various ways. It has been viewed as a manifestation of a leader's style of management (Petty, Beadles, Chapman, Lowery, & Connell, 1995). In addition, linkages have been drawn between various cultural dimensions and bottom-line performance (Denison, 1984, 1990, 1996). Culture appears to have deep roots in the mental models (i.e., in the assumptions and beliefs regarding expectations) that are held by organizational members about all organizational operations. Employees tend to build expectations based on their personal experiences, peer pressure, myth, gossip, and wishful thinking. In all likelihood, that will not change. But what can change is what people do with the result (Weick, 1995).

Denison believes that the mental models of organizational members are not totally transparent, but, instead, are manifest in expressed behaviors. Furthermore, they are expressed as behaviors and are, therefore, quantifiable. According to Schein (1999), culture matters because decisions that are made without awareness of the underlying cultural forces may have unexpected and undesirable consequences.

As technology provides new pathways for doing work, it also challenges "tried and true" management practices. How will organizations adapt? What will successful e-organizations look like? Today, some routines have begun to look different (e.g., on-line ordering, customer service, project management). However, the effectiveness of organization change initiatives has been estimated at only 10-20% at best (Ashkenas, 1995). Furthermore, Ardichvilli (2001) stated that the primary reasons for failing to accept new patterns of work provided by IT are an overemphasis on technology-based solutions and a lack of attention to the human component of the equation. The rate of failure in strategic change initiatives indicates that success variables have yet to be explicitly defined and/or internalized by leaders and employees (Boyett, 1995).

To be most effective IT changes must be integrated with an organization's core beliefs, processes, and practices. In essence, organizations are on the verge of needing a massive culture change—a change in the way work is done, performance is measured, and employees are recruited and retained (Meeder &Cude, 2001). Of course, while these changes are occurring, successful organizations must continue to operate a financially sound, innovative, and quality-driven business enterprises.

The shift required of leaders today is from looking at skills and process behavior to examining mental models (i.e., values, attitudes, and beliefs) of the organization and its employees (Lee & Zemke, 1993). This shift must begin with an organization's greatest asset: its people. Leaders are quick to talk about rapid change, but research suggests that less than 10% of companies desiring to creatively and productively implement new technology into their ways of work are successful (Boyett, 1995). Morrison & Schmid (1994) noted that implementing new ways of work requires education, people skills, clear communication, and incentives. In other words, culture change is a requirement for organizations that want to compete most effectively in the Internet Age economy.

Debates continue about how to leverage an organization's culture for success, how to develop it, and how to change it. Indeed, culture might be the most important variable for creating, supporting, and sustaining bottom-line results in today's new economy (Fisher and Alford, 2000).

Systems Theory

Systems theory is foundational to the HRD profession. Integrating systems with the researched-based knowledge of culture's impact on organizational performance (Denison, 1990; 1996), can help HRD practitioners consider effective organizational change strategies relative to IT's impact on the current mental models of work and performance.

IT provides a relatively new influence on organizational performance. Leaders value IT because it provides 1) many new options for action and 2) links among individual employees and among organization functions. However, the more variables and the greater the interdependence of variables, the greater the systemic complexity (Dörner, 1996). Humans often do a poor job of understanding complex systems (Dawes, 1988; Dörner, 1996).

Tools for understanding how variables interact to produce results can be found in the literature of systems thinking. One such tool is the Iceberg Model (Senge, 2000). Senge shows the frozen ice that is visible above water and the larger ice mass that is invisible below the water. The ice below the water supports the visible portion of the iceberg. In the Iceberg Model, visible events are like the portion of the iceberg that is above water. The patterns of events are just below the water. The systemic structure that supports the patterns of events can be found at a deeper level, and the mental models that support the structures are found at the deepest level.



Because organizational cultures include aspects that are visible as well as deeper aspects that are not visible, the Iceberg Model is a useful tool for exploring this construct. According to Denison (1996), beliefs drive behaviors. In turn, behaviors drive results. Therefore, it is practical to approach organization culture via its most direct dimension: how people act as captured in organizational events. Systematic reflection on such events can reveal patterns of events and the systemic structures and mental models that support them.

In summary, IT offers a new set of solutions that can help organizations function more strategically, while also employing the flexibility necessary to improve innovation, quality, employee satisfaction, and positive bottom-line results. Using IT to effectively produce business results requires changing to the technology that best enables e-organizations to do their work. This may be the easier change to make. Changing the internal processes of the organization to maximize the contribution of IT and human resources may be a harder goal to reach. "The narrow question: How do we structure our e-business unit? The broader question: How do we change our whole organization? The first is oriented toward presenting the best face to outside audiences. The second recognizes that the biggest challenge is inside" (Kanter, 2001, p. 169).

Most organizations literally have decades "of history baked into their cultures and processes. Technology and the Internet are not coded into their organizational DNA --at least not yet" (Christie, 2001, p. 45). HRD professionals who help guide organizations in culture change efforts can benefit from using a systems lens to reflect on the culture of their organizations. They can also benefit from discovering how culture changes in the e-workplace vary across organizations. Finally, they can benefit from knowing the available and needed resources and research.

Description of Format

Participants will form small groups of six. This session begins with a brief *introduction* of the topic and the Iceberg Model. Organization culture will be discussed, and questions of interest relative to the epatterns of work will be posed to the group.

In the second phase, participants apply the Iceberg Model to identify events and patterns of events. After working individually to reflect on an organization's IT events and then patterns of events, participants then interact in small groups to discover the commonalities among events and patterns of events across organizations. We will compare the participant's responses to the events and patterns of typical organizations from Lawson and Sleezer's 2001 study.

The third phase will replicate the process of phase 2 but will focus on systemic structures and mental models. We will compare the participant's responses to the structures and mental models of typical organizations from Lawson and Sleezer's 2001 study.

The fourth phase will be an all-group discussion about insights gained from the first three phases (15 minutes). The discussion will also include the resources and research that are available and those that are needed.

References

- Ardichvili, A. (2001). The role of human resource development in transitioning from technology-focused to people-centered knowledge management. In C. M. Sleezer, T. L. Wentling, & R. L. Cude (Eds.), Human resource development and information technology: Making global connections. Boston: Kluwer.
- Ashkenas, R. N. (1995). Beyond the fads: How leaders drive change with results. In C. E. Scheiner (Ed.), Managing strategic and cultural change in organizations. New York: Human Resource Planning Society.
- Boyett, J. H. (1995). Beyond workplace 2000. New York: Penguin Group.
- Christie, M. (2001). HR to the power of ^e. In C. M. Sleezer, T. L. Wentling, & R. L. Cude (Eds.), Human resource development and information technology: Making global connections. Boston: Kluwer.
- Clark, B. (1972). The organizational saga in higher education. Administrative Science Quarterly, 17, 178-184.
- Dawes, R. M. (1988). Rational choice in an uncertain world. San Diego, Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Deal, T. A. & Kennedy, A. A. (1982). Corporate culture. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Denison, D. R. (1984). Bringing corporate culture to the bottom line. Organizational Dynamics, 13 (20), 4-22.
- Denison, D. R. (1990). Corporate culture and organizational effectiveness. New York: Wiley.
- Denison, D. R. (1996). What is the difference between organizational culture and organizational climate? A native's point of view on a decade of paradigm wars. Academy of Management Review, 21, 619-654.
- Dörner, D. (1996). The logic of failure. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Fisher, C. J., & Alford, R. J. (2000). Consulting on culture: A new bottom line. Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and research, 52 (3), 206-217.
- Kanter, R. M. (2001). Evolve! Succeeding in the digital culture of tomorrow. Boston: Harvard Business School



Press.

Lawson, L. K., & Sleezer, C. M. (2001). Managing information technology change in the workplace: A systems perspective. In C. M. Sleezer, T. L. Wentling, & R. L. Cude (Eds.), Human resource development and information technology: Making global connections. Boston: Kluwer.

Lee, C., & Zemke, R. (1993, June). The search for spirit in the workplace. Training, 30, 21-28.

Meeder, H., & Cude, R. (2001). Building a competitive workforce for the new economy. In C. M. Sleezer, T. L. Wentling, & R. L. Cude (Eds.), Human resource development and information technology: Making global connections. Boston: Kluwer.

Morrison, I., & Schmid, G. (1994). Future tense. New York: William Morrow & Co.

Ouchi, W. G. (1981). Theory Z: How American businesses can meet the Japanese challenge. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

Pettigrew, A. (1973). The politics of organizational decision making. London: Tavistock.

Petty, M. M., Beadles, N. A., Chapman, D. F., Lowery, C. M., & Connell, D. W. (1995). Relationships between organizational culture and organizational performance. Psychological Reports, 76, 483-492.

Sathe, V. (1983). Implications of corporate culture: A manager's guide to action. Organizational Dynamics, 12 (2),

Sathe, V. (1985). Culture and related corporate realities. Homewood, IL: Irwin.

Schein, E. H. (1985). Organizational culture and leadership. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Schein, E. H. (1989). A manager's guide to corporate culture. New York: Conference Board.

Schein, E. H. (1990). Organizational culture. American Psychologist, 45, 109-119.

Schein, E. H. (1999). The corporate culture survival guide. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Senge, P. (2000). Schools that learn. New York: Doubleday.

Van Buren, M. (2001). Making knowledge count: Knowledge management and the human element. In C. M. Sleezer, T. L. Wentling, & R. L. Cude (Eds.), Human resource development and information technology: Making global connections. Boston: Kluwer.

Weick, K. E. (1995). Sensemaking in organizations. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION	N:	
Title: 2002 AHRA Co	nference Proceed	lings
Author(s): Tobu marsha	-11 Egan & Susan	A. Lynnam
1	_	
Academy of Hun	nau lesource Develop	ment february 2002
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE		
monthly abstract journal of the ERRC system, As and electronic media, and sold through the ER reproduction release is granted, one of the follow	e timely and significant majoriple of interest to the e socuross in Education (RIE), are unually made svai RIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Cra wing notices is allowed to the document. seminate the identified document, please CHECK ON	able to users in microfiche, reproduced paper cop; Ift is given to the source of each document, and,
of the page.	The same of the sa	. Of the resource shows a size of the same
The sample dicher shown below will be allowed to all Level 1 documents.	The Abhipto efficier shown below will be efficied to all Lavel 2A documents	The semple sticker alsown below will be alband to all Lavel 223 documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, MAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMMATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
- odle		
	5ample	Sam
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
1	2A	28
Level 1	Lovel 2A	Level 2B
[Ţ
\boxtimes		
Check have for Lineal 1 releases, permitting reproduction and described to microfiche or other ERIC archives mustic (e.g., electrosis) and paper copy.	Check here for Laves 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissertionation to extendible and in electronic media. for ERIC architest collection subscribers only	Check here for Lavel 28 release, permitting reproduction and dissertingdon in microliche only
Docu F permission to	mants will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality reproduces in granted, but no box in checked, documents will be pr	permits. operand at Level 1.
as indicated above. Reproduction in	ources information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permon the ERIC microtiche or electronic media by per the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit fors in response to discrete inquiries.	rsons other than ERIC employees and its system
Sign Some Sully	237 Privated Harms	Position Title;
11010,7	f Human Resource	72.9155 PM:419.372.8385
Developme	ent E-Mai Address	@ahra. Dak 2-28-03
	lechnology	org (over
Bowling Gr	reen State University	-

Bowling Green, OH 43403-0301

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:	
Address:	
Price:	
IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHT If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please proventions.	
Name:	ide the appropriate name diff
Address:	
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:	<u>.</u>
Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: Acquisitions Coordinator ERIC Clearinghouse	

ERIC Clearinghouse on Adult, Career, and Vocational Education Center on Education and Training for Employment 1900 Kenny Road Columbus, OH 43210-1090

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to: